

# Audit Strategy Memorandum

Broxtowe Borough Council

Year ending 31 March 2021



# Contents

- 01** Engagement and responsibilities summary
- 02** Your audit engagement team
- 03** Audit scope, approach and timeline
- 04** Significant risks and other key judgement areas
- 05** Value for Money
- 06** Fees for audit and other services
- 07** Our commitment to independence
- 08** Materiality and misstatements

Appendix – Key communication points

This document is to be regarded as confidential to Broxtowe Borough Council. It has been prepared for the sole use of Governance, Audit & Standards Committee as the appropriate sub-committee charged with governance. No responsibility is accepted to any other person in respect of the whole or part of its contents. Our written consent must first be obtained before this document, or any part of it, is disclosed to a third party.



Governance, Audit and Standards Committee  
Broxtowe Borough Council  
Town Hall,  
Foster Avenue  
Beeston  
Nottingham  
NG9 1AB

February 2021

Dear Committee Members

## **Audit Strategy Memorandum – Year ending 31 March 2021**

We are pleased to present our Audit Strategy Memorandum for Broxtowe Borough Council for the year ending 31 March 2021. The purpose of this document is to summarise our audit approach, highlight significant audit risks and areas of key judgements and provide you with the details of our audit team. As it is a fundamental requirement that an auditor is, and is seen to be, independent of its clients, section 8 of this document also summarises our considerations and conclusions on our independence as auditors. We consider two-way communication with you to be key to a successful audit and important in:

- reaching a mutual understanding of the scope of the audit and the responsibilities of each of us;
- sharing information to assist each of us to fulfil our respective responsibilities;
- providing you with constructive observations arising from the audit process; and
- ensuring that we, as external auditors, gain an understanding of your attitude and views in respect of the internal and external operational, financial, compliance and other risks facing East Lindsey Borough Council which may affect the audit, including the likelihood of those risks materialising and how they are monitored and managed.

With that in mind, we see this document, which has been prepared following our initial planning discussions with management, as being the basis for a discussion around our audit approach, any questions, concerns or input you may have on our approach or role as auditor. This document also contains an appendix that outlines our key communications with you during the course of the audit,

Client service is extremely important to us and we strive to provide technical excellence with the highest level of service quality, together with continuous improvement to exceed your expectations so, if you have any concerns or comments about this document or audit approach, please contact me on [mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk](mailto:mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk)

Yours faithfully

Mark Surridge

Mazars LLP

Mazars LLP  
2 Chamberlain Square  
Birmingham  
B3 3AX

Mazars LLP – 2 Chamberlain Square, Birmingham, B3 3AX

Tel: 0121 2329500 – [www.mazars.co.uk](http://www.mazars.co.uk)

Mazars LLP is the UK firm of Mazars, an integrated international advisory and accountancy organisation. Mazars LLP is a limited liability partnership registered in England and Wales with registered number OC308299 and with its registered office at Tower Bridge House, St Katharine's Way, London E1W 1DD.

We are registered to carry on audit work in the UK by the Institute of Chartered Accountants in England and Wales. Details about our audit registration can be viewed at [www.auditregister.org.uk](http://www.auditregister.org.uk) under reference number C001139861. VAT number: 839 8356 73

# 01

Section 01:

**Engagement and  
responsibilities summary**

# 1. Engagement and responsibilities summary

## Overview of engagement

We are appointed to perform the external audit of Broxtowe Borough Council (the Council) for the year to 31 March 2021. The scope of our engagement is set out in the Statement of Responsibilities of Auditors and Audited Bodies, issued by Public Sector Audit Appointments Ltd (PSAA) available from the PSAA website: <https://www.psa.co.uk/managing-audit-quality/statement-of-responsibilities-of-auditors-and-audited-bodies/>. Our responsibilities are principally derived from the Local Audit and Accountability Act 2014 (the 2014 Act) and the Code of Audit Practice issued by the National Audit Office (NAO), as outlined below.



### Audit opinion

We are responsible for forming and expressing an opinion on the financial statements. Our audit does not relieve management or Governance, Audit & Standards Committee, as those charged with governance, of their responsibilities.



### Fraud

The responsibility for safeguarding assets and for the prevention and detection of fraud, error and non-compliance with law or regulations rests with both those charged with governance and management. This includes establishing and maintaining internal controls over reliability of financial reporting.

As part of our audit procedures in relation to fraud we are required to enquire of those charged with governance, including key management and Internal Audit, as to their knowledge of instances of fraud, the risk of fraud and their views on internal controls that mitigate the fraud risks. In accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), we plan and perform our audit so as to obtain reasonable assurance that the financial statements taken as a whole are free from material misstatement, whether caused by fraud or error. However, our audit should not be relied upon to identify all such misstatements.



### Going concern

The Council is required to prepare its financial statements on a going concern basis by the Code of Practice on Local Authority Accounting. The section 151 officer is responsible for the assessment of whether it is appropriate for the Council to prepare its accounts on a going concern basis. As auditors, we are required to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence regarding, and conclude on the appropriateness of the section 151 officer's use of the going concern basis of accounting in the preparation of the financial statements and the adequacy of disclosures made.



### Value for money

We are also responsible for reaching a conclusion on the arrangements that the Council has in place to secure economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. We discuss our approach to Value for Money work further in section 5 of this report.



### Reporting to the NAO

We report to the NAO on the consistency of the Council's financial statements with its Whole of Government Accounts (WGA) submission.



### Electors' rights

The 2014 Act requires us to give an elector, or any representative of the elector, the opportunity to question us about the accounting records of the Council and consider any objection made to the accounts. We also have a broad range of reporting responsibilities and powers that are unique to the audit of local authorities in the United Kingdom.

Engagement and responsibilities summary

Your audit engagement team

Audit scope, approach and timeline

Significant risks and key judgement areas

Value for money

Fees for audit and other services

Our commitment to independence

Materiality and misstatements

Appendices

# 02

Section 02:

**Your audit engagement team**

# 2. Your audit engagement team

Your external audit service continues to be led by Mark Surridge. A summary of key team members are detailed below:

Who	Role	E-mail
Mark Surridge	Engagement Lead	<a href="mailto:mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk">mark.surridge@mazars.co.uk</a>
Alex Campbell	Engagement Assistant Manager	<a href="mailto:alex.campbell@mazars.co.uk">alex.campbell@mazars.co.uk</a>



Engagement and responsibilities summary	<b>Your audit engagement team</b>	Audit scope, approach and timeline	Significant risks and key judgement areas	Value for money	Fees for audit and other services	Our commitment to independence	Materiality and misstatements	Appendices
---	-----------------------------------	------------------------------------	---	-----------------	-----------------------------------	--------------------------------	-------------------------------	------------

# 03

Section 03:

**Audit scope, approach and timeline**

# 3. Audit scope, approach and timeline

## Audit scope

Our audit approach is designed to provide an audit that complies with all professional requirements.

Our audit of the financial statements will be conducted in accordance with International Standards on Auditing (UK), relevant ethical and professional standards, our own audit approach and in accordance with the terms of our engagement. Our work is focused on those aspects of your business which we consider to have a higher risk of material misstatement, such as those impacted by management judgement and estimation, application of new accounting standards, changes of accounting policy, changes to operations or areas which have been found to contain material errors in the past.


## Audit approach

Our audit approach is a risk-based approach primarily driven by the risks we consider to result in a higher risk of material misstatement of the financial statements. Once we have completed our risk assessment, we develop our audit strategy and design audit procedures in response to this assessment.

If we conclude that appropriately designed controls are in place then we may plan to test and rely upon these controls. If we decide controls are not appropriately designed, or we decide it would be more efficient to do so, we may take a wholly substantive approach to our audit testing. Substantive procedures are audit procedures designed to detect material misstatements at the assertion level and comprise: tests of details (of classes of transactions, account balances, and disclosures); and substantive analytical procedures. Irrespective of the assessed risks of material misstatement, which take into account our evaluation of the operating effectiveness of controls, we are required to design and perform substantive procedures for each material class of transactions, account balance, and disclosure.

Our audit will be planned and performed so as to provide reasonable assurance that the financial statements are free from material misstatement and give a true and fair view. The concept of materiality and how we define a misstatement is explained in more detail in section 8.

The diagram on the next page outlines the procedures we perform at the different stages of the audit.




# 3. Audit scope, approach and timeline

## Planning January 2021 – February 2021

- Planning visit and developing our understanding of the Council
- Initial opinion and value for money risk assessments
- Considering proposed accounting treatments and accounting policies
- Developing the audit strategy and planning the audit work to be performed
- Agreeing timetable and deadlines
- Preliminary analytical review

## Interim March 2021

- Documenting systems and controls
- Performing walkthroughs
- Interim controls testing including tests of IT general controls
- Early substantive testing of transactions
- Reassessment of audit plan and revision if necessary




## Completion September 2021

- Final review and disclosure checklist of financial statements
- Final partner review
- Agreeing content of letter of representation
- Reporting to the Governance, Audit & Standards Committee
- Reviewing subsequent events
- Signing the auditor’s report

## Fieldwork July - August 2021

- Receiving and reviewing draft financial statements
- Reassessment of audit plan and revision if necessary
- Executing the strategy starting with significant risks and high risk areas
- Communicating progress and issues
- Clearance meeting



# 3. Audit scope, approach and timeline

## Reliance on internal audit

Where possible we will seek to utilise the work performed by internal audit to modify the nature, extent and timing of our audit procedures. We will meet with internal audit to discuss the progress and findings of their work prior to the commencement of our controls evaluation procedures.

Where we intend to rely on the work on internal audit, we will evaluate the work performed by your internal audit team and perform our own audit procedures to determine its adequacy for our audit.

## Management’s and our experts


Management makes use of experts in specific areas when preparing the Council’s financial statements. We also use experts to assist us to obtain sufficient appropriate audit evidence on specific items of account.

Item of account	Management’s expert	Our expert
Property, plant and equipment	<b>Internal Valuer</b> Broxtowe Borough Council	None. Third party evidence provided via the NAO to support our challenge of valuation assumptions.
Pensions	<b>Barnett Waddingham</b> Actuary for Nottinghamshire Pension Fund	PwC LLP (Consulting actuary appointed by the NAO).
Financial instrument disclosures	<b>Arlingclose</b> Treasury management advisors	None.

## Service organisations

International Auditing Standards (UK) (ISAs) define service organisations as third party organisations that provide services to the [Council] that are part of its information systems relevant to financial reporting. We are required to obtain an understanding of the services provided by service organisations as well as evaluating the design and implementation of controls over those services. The table below summarises the service organisations used by the Council and our planned audit approach.

Items of account	Service organisation	Audit approach
Pension cost (cost of services)  Net interest on defined benefit liability  Re-measurements of the net defined benefit liability (OCI)  Net pension liability	<b>Nottinghamshire Pension Fund</b>  <i>The IAS 19 pension entries that form part of the Council's financial statements are material and are derived from actuarial valuations. The process of obtaining these is co-ordinated by and uses information held and processed by the service organisation.</i>	We will review the controls operating at the Council over these transactions to gain an understanding of the services provided by the service organisation.  Where we conclude that we do not have a sufficient understanding of the services by the service organisation we will seek to obtain assurance by using another auditor to perform procedures that will provide the necessary information about relevant controls at the service organisation.



# 3. Audit scope, approach and timeline

## Group audit approach

Broxtowe Borough Council needs to consider whether it is required to produce consolidated financial statements. Based on the information we have to date, we expect there to be group accounts compiled in the current financial year.

The consolidated financial statements cover the following entities:


- Broxtowe Borough Council = Parent Company
- Liberty Leisure Limited = 100% owned Subsidiary

At the planning stage of the audit, we do not anticipate that the subsidiary will represent a significant component within the group structure however this will be monitored throughout the audit process and any changes to our assessment will be reported to the Governance, Audit & Standards Committee.

Although not significant, the subsidiary does contain individually material balances to the Parent company (the Council), therefore specific audit procedures will be required to be undertaken by the local audit team to gain the assurance on the overall group opinion.

Therefore, the extent of our work on the group accounts will cover a review of the consolidation of the subsidiary in to the group accounts, supported by further testing if judged necessary.

This work will require an extra fee over and above the agreed scale fee. This fee will be subject to approval by Public Sector Audit Appointments (PSAA).



# 04

Section 04:

**Significant risks and other key judgement areas**

# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

Following the risk assessment approach discussed in section 3 of this document, we have identified relevant risks to the audit of financial statements. The risks that we identify are categorised as significant, enhanced or standard. The definitions of the level of risk rating are given below:

### Significant risk

A significant risk is an identified and assessed risk of material misstatement that, in the auditor’s judgment, requires special audit consideration. For any significant risk, the auditor shall obtain an understanding of the entity’s controls, including control activities relevant to that risk.

### Enhanced risk

An enhanced risk is an area of higher assessed risk of material misstatement ('RMM') at audit assertion level other than a significant risk. Enhanced risks require additional consideration but does not rise to the level of a significant risk, these include but may not be limited to:


- key areas of management judgement, including accounting estimates which are material but are not considered to give rise to a significant risk of material misstatement; and
- other audit assertion risks arising from significant events or transactions that occurred during the period.

### Standard risk

This is related to relatively routine, non-complex transactions that tend to be subject to systematic processing and require little management judgement. Although it is considered that there is a risk of material misstatement (RMM), there are no elevated or special factors related to the nature, the likely magnitude of the potential misstatements or the likelihood of the risk occurring.

### Summary risk assessment

The summary risk assessment, illustrated in the table below, highlights those risks which we deem to be significant and other enhanced risks in respect of the Council. We have summarised our audit response to these risks on the next page.




# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Specific identified audit risks and planned testing strategy

We have presented below in more detail the reasons for the risk assessment highlighted above, and our testing approach with respect to significant risks. An audit is a dynamic process, should we change our view of risk or approach to address the identified risks during our audit, we will report this to the Governance, Audit & Standards Committee.

## Significant risks


	Description	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
1	<p><b>Management override of controls</b></p> <p>This is a mandatory significant risk on all audits due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur.</p> <p>Management at various levels within an organisation are in a unique position to perpetrate fraud because of their ability to manipulate accounting records and prepare fraudulent financial statements by overriding controls that otherwise appear to be operating effectively. Due to the unpredictable way in which such override could occur there is a risk of material misstatement due to fraud on all audits.</p>	●	-	-	We plan to address the management override of controls risk through performing audit work over accounting estimates, journal entries and significant transactions outside the normal course of business or otherwise unusual.



# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Significant risks (continued)


	Description	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
2	<p><b>Net defined benefit liability valuation</b></p> <p>The defined benefit liability relating to the Local Government pension scheme represents a significant balance on the Council’s balance sheet.</p> <p>The Council uses an actuary to provide an annual valuation of these liabilities in line with the requirements of IAS 19 Employee Benefits.</p> <p>Due to the high degree of estimation uncertainty associated with this valuation, we have determined there is a significant risk in this area.</p>	-	●	●	<p>We plan to address the risk by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>critically assessing the competency, objectivity and independence of the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund’s Actuary, Barnett Waddingham;</li> <li>liaising with the auditors of the Nottinghamshire Pension Fund to gain assurance that the controls in place at the Pension Fund are operating effectively. This will included the processes and controls in place to ensure data provided to the Actuary by the Pension Fund for the purposes of the IAS 19 valuation is complete and accurate;</li> <li>reviewing the appropriateness of the Pension Asset and Liability valuation methodologies applied by the Pension Fund Actuary, and the key assumptions included within the valuation. This will include comparing them to expected ranges, utilising information by the consulting actuary engaged by the National Audit Office; and</li> <li>agreeing the data in the IAS 19 valuation report provided by the Fund Actuary for accounting purposes to the pension accounting entries and disclosures in the Council’s financial statements.</li> </ul> <p>In line with 2019/20 and the continuing Covid-19 pandemic, there may be a material valuation uncertainty disclosure to be made and emphasis of matter paragraph to be included within our opinion. We will monitor this throughout the audit process.</p>



# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Significant risks (continued)


	Description	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
3	<p><b>Valuation of land &amp; buildings, council dwellings, investment properties (where material) and assets held for sale (where material)</b></p> <p>The Council's accounts contain material balances and disclosures relating to its holding of council dwellings and land &amp; Buildings, with the majority required to be carried at valuation.</p> <p>The valuation of these assets is complex and is subject to a number of management assumptions and judgements.</p> <p>Due to the high degree of estimation uncertainty associated, we have determined there is a significant risk in this area.</p>	-	●	●	<p>We plan to address this risk by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>critically assessing the Council's valuers scope of work, qualifications, objectivity and independence to carry out the required programme of revaluations;</li> <li>considering whether the overall revaluation methodologies used by the Council's valuers are in line with industry practice, the CIPFA code of practice and the Council's accounting policies;</li> <li>assessing whether valuation movements are in line with market expectations by considering valuation trends; and</li> <li>critically assessing the approach that the Council adopts to ensure that assets that are not subject to revaluation in 2020/21 are materially correct, including considering the robustness of that approach in light of the valuation information reported by the Councils valuers.</li> </ul> <p>In line with 2019/20 and the continuing Covid-19 pandemic, there may be a material valuation uncertainty disclosure to be made and emphasis of matter paragraph to be included within our opinion. We will monitor this throughout the audit process.</p>



# 4. Significant risks and other key judgement areas

## Significant risks (continued)

	Description	Fraud	Error	Judgement	Planned response
4	<p><b>Covid-19 grant recognition</b></p> <p>Throughout 2020/21, the Government has provided substantial sums of financial support to local authorities. These grants are material and we have identified the completeness and accuracy of this income as an audit risk.</p>	-	●	-	<p>We plan to address this risk by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• reviewing the Council's approach in determining whether grants are or are not ringfenced for specified areas of expenditure;</li> <li>• testing grant income recorded in the ledger to grant allocations/ notifications; and</li> <li>• reviewing a sample of grants to ensure conditions to recognise the income in 2020/21 have or have not been met.</li> </ul>



# 05

Section 05:

**Value for Money**

# 5. Value for Money

## The framework for Value for Money work

We are required to form a view as to whether the Council has made proper arrangements for securing economy, efficiency and effectiveness in its use of resources. The NAO issues guidance to auditors that underpins the work we are required to carry out in order to form our view, and sets out the overall criterion and sub-criteria that we are required to consider.

The new Code of Audit Practice (the Code) has changed the way in which we report our findings in relation to Value for Money (VFM) arrangements from 2020/21. Whilst we are still required to be satisfied that the Council has proper arrangements in place, we will now report by exception in our auditor's report where we have identified significant weakness in those arrangements. This is a significant change to the requirements under the previous Code which required us to give a conclusion on the Council's arrangements as part of our auditor's report.

Under the new Code, the key output of our work on VFM arrangements will be a commentary on those arrangements which will form part of the Auditor's Annual Report.


## Specified reporting criteria

The Code requires us to structure our commentary to report under three specified criteria:

1. **Financial sustainability** – how the Council plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services
2. **Governance** – how the Council ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks
3. **Improving economy, efficiency and effectiveness** – how the Council uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services

## Our approach

Our work falls into three primary phases as outlined opposite. We need to gather sufficient evidence to support our commentary on the Council's arrangements and to identify and report on any significant weaknesses in arrangements. Where significant weaknesses are identified we are required to report these to the Council and make recommendations for improvement. Such recommendations can be made at any point during the audit cycle and we are not expected to wait until issuing our overall commentary to do so.



# 5. Value for money conclusion

Under the 2020 Code, we are required to structure our commentary on the Council's 'proper arrangements' under three specified reporting criteria, which are expanded in the supporting guidance notes produced by the National Audit Office:

## Financial sustainability: how the body plans and manages its resources to ensure it can continue to deliver its services


- how the body ensures that it identifies all the significant financial pressures that are relevant to its short and medium-term plans and builds these into them
- how the body plans to bridge its funding gaps and identifies achievable savings
- how the body plans finances to support the sustainable delivery of services in accordance with strategic and statutory priorities
- how the body ensures that its financial plan is consistent with other plans such as workforce, capital, investment, and other operational planning which may include working with other local public bodies as part of a wider system
- how the body identifies and manages risks to financial resilience, e.g. unplanned changes in demand, including challenge of the assumptions underlying its plans.

## Governance: how the body ensures that it makes informed decisions and properly manages its risks, including

- how the body monitors and assesses risk and how the body gains assurance over the effective operation of internal controls, including arrangements to prevent and detect fraud
- how the body approaches and carries out its annual budget setting process
- how the body ensures effective processes and systems are in place to ensure budgetary control; to communicate relevant, accurate and timely management information (including non-financial information where appropriate); supports its statutory financial reporting requirements; and ensures corrective action is taken where needed
- how the body ensures it makes properly informed decisions, supported by appropriate evidence and allowing for challenge and transparency. This includes arrangements for effective challenge from those charged with governance/audit committee
- how the body monitors and ensures appropriate standards, such as meeting legislative/regulatory requirements and standards in terms of officer or member behaviour (such as gifts and hospitality or declarations/conflicts of interests).

## Improving VFM: how the body uses information about its costs and performance to improve the way it manages and delivers its services

- how financial and performance information has been used to assess performance to identify areas for improvement
- how the body evaluates the services it provides to assess performance and identify areas for improvement
- how the body ensures it delivers its role within significant partnerships, engages with stakeholders it has identified, monitors performance against expectations, and ensures action is taken where necessary to improve
- where the body commissions or procures services, how the body ensures that this is done in accordance with relevant legislation, professional standards and internal policies, and how the body assesses whether it is realising the expected benefits.




# 5. Value for Money

## Identified risks of significant weaknesses in arrangements

The NAO's guidance requires us to carry out work at the planning stage to understand the Council's arrangements and to identify risks that significant weaknesses in arrangements may exist.

Due to the late release of the NAO's Auditor Guidance Note and supporting information to auditors, we have not yet fully completed our planning and risk assessment work. We will report the results of our planning and risk assessment work to the Governance, Audit & Standards Committee at a later date.



# 06

Section 06:

**Fees for audit and other services**

## 6. Fees for audit and other services

### Fees for work as the Council's appointed auditor

Details of the 2019/20 Actual and 2020/21 Audit fees in line with PSAA and other reporting mechanisms are set out below:

Area of work	2020/21 Proposed Fee	2019/20 Actual Fee
Scale audit fee	£35,807	£35,807
<i>Fee variations:</i>		
Additional Testing on Property, Plant & Equipment and Defined Benefit Pensions Schemes as a result of changes in regulatory expectations	£7,067 <sup>1</sup>	£7,067
Additional testing as a result of the implementation of new auditing standards: ISA 220 (Revised): Quality control of an audit of financial statements; ISA 540 (Revised): Auditing accounting estimates and related disclosures; ISA570 (Revised) Going Concern; and ISA 600 (Revised): Specific considerations – audit of group financial statements.	£2,000 <sup>2</sup>	-
Other additional costs	TBC	£5,032 <sup>3</sup>
Group accounts	£2,000	£2,000
<b>Sub-total</b>	<b>£44,874</b>	<b>£49,906</b>
Additional work arising from the change in the Code of Audit Practice	£10,000 <sup>4</sup>	-
<b>Total</b>	<b>£54,874 <sup>5</sup></b>	<b>£49,906</b>

<sup>1</sup> As previously reported to you, the scale fee has been adjusted to take into account the additional work required as a result of increased regulatory expectations over these areas.

<sup>2</sup> For 2020/21, new auditing standards have been introduced incurring additional time and audit work not reflected in the scale fee. Additional testing as a result of the implementation of IFRS 16 Leases is deferred to the financial year 2021/22.

<sup>3</sup> The additional audit costs in 2019/20 has been disclosed within our Annual Audit Letter. This mainly relates to additional testing and reporting of uncertainties in key estimates as a result of Covid-19.

<sup>4</sup> As explained in section 5, the revised Code of Audit Practice results in a substantial amount of additional audit work to support the value for money conclusion and the changes in reporting requirements, requiring additional time and input from the senior members of the team. Our review of the Code and supporting guidance notes shows that the additional fee impact at all public sector entities is expected to be at least £10,000. The final fee will take into account the extent, and complexity of, any significant weaknesses in arrangements to review and report upon.

<sup>5</sup> This is a proposed fee for 2020/21 at the point of the issue of our ASM. This figure is subject to change and additional costs will be discussed with management, for example material valuation uncertainty on asset valuations as a result of Covid-19.

# 07

Section 07:

**Our commitment to independence**

# 7. Our commitment to independence

We are committed to independence and are required by the Financial Reporting Council to confirm to you at least annually in writing that we comply with the FRC's Ethical Standard. In addition, we communicate any matters or relationship which we believe may have a bearing on our independence or the objectivity of the audit team.

Based on the information provided by you and our own internal procedures to safeguard our independence as auditors, we confirm that in our professional judgement there are no relationships between us and any of our related or subsidiary entities, and you and your related entities creating any unacceptable threats to our independence within the regulatory or professional requirements governing us as your auditors.


We have policies and procedures in place which are designed to ensure that we carry out our work with integrity, objectivity and independence. These policies include:

- All partners and staff are required to complete an annual independence declaration;
- All new partners and staff are required to complete an independence confirmation and also complete computer based ethical training;
- Rotation policies covering audit engagement partners and other key members of the audit team; and
- Use by managers and partners of our client and engagement acceptance system which requires all non-audit services to be approved in advance by the audit engagement partner.

We confirm, as at the date of this document, that the engagement team and others in the firm as appropriate, Mazars LLP are independent and comply with relevant ethical requirements. However, if at any time you have concerns or questions about our integrity, objectivity or independence please discuss these with Mark Surrige in the first instance.

Prior to the provision of any non-audit services Mark Surrige will undertake appropriate procedures to consider and fully assess the impact that providing the service may have on our auditor independence.

Any emerging independence threats and associated identified safeguards will be communicated in our Audit Completion Report.



# 08

Section 08:

**Materiality and other misstatements**

# 8. Materiality and misstatements

## Summary of initial materiality thresholds

Broxtowe Borough Council: Threshold	Initial threshold £'000s
Overall materiality	1,306
Performance materiality	979
Trivial threshold for errors to be reported to Governance, Audit & Standards Committee	39
<u>Specific materiality:</u>	
Officer remuneration (on the basis this is qualitatively material owing to public interest)	5*
External Audit fee (on the basis this is qualitatively material owing to auditor independence)	8

\*Reflecting movement from one salary band to another

Group Thresholds	Initial threshold £'000s
Overall materiality	1,448
Performance materiality	1,086
Trivial threshold for errors to be reported to Governance, Audit & Standards Committee	43

## Materiality

Materiality is an expression of the relative significance or importance of a particular matter in the context of financial statements as a whole.

Misstatements in financial statements are considered to be material if they, individually or in aggregate, could reasonably be expected to influence the economic decisions of users taken on the basis of the financial statements.

Judgements on materiality are made in light of surrounding circumstances and are affected by the size and nature of a misstatement, or a combination of both. Judgements about materiality are based on consideration of the common financial information needs of users as a group and not on specific individual users.


The assessment of what is material is a matter of professional judgement and is affected by our perception of the financial information needs of the users of the financial statements. In making our assessment we assume that users:

- Have a reasonable knowledge of business, economic activities and accounts;
- Have a willingness to study the information in the financial statements with reasonable diligence;
- Understand that financial statements are prepared, presented and audited to levels of materiality;
- Recognize the uncertainties inherent in the measurement of amounts based on the use of estimates, judgement and the consideration of future events; and
- Will make reasonable economic decisions on the basis of the information in the financial statements.

We consider materiality whilst planning and performing our audit based on quantitative and qualitative factors.

Whilst planning, we make judgements about the size of misstatements which we consider to be material and which provides a basis for determining the nature, timing and extent of risk assessment procedures, identifying and assessing the risk of material misstatement and determining the nature, timing and extent of further audit procedures.

The materiality determined at the planning stage does not necessarily establish an amount below which uncorrected misstatements, either individually or in aggregate, will be considered as immaterial.



# 8. Materiality and misstatements

## Materiality (continued)

We revise materiality for the financial statements as our audit progresses should we become aware of information that would have caused us to determine a different amount had we been aware of that information at the planning stage.

Our provisional materiality is set based on a benchmark of Gross Revenue Expenditure at Surplus/deficit on Provision of Services level for 2019/20. We will identify a figure for materiality but identify separate levels for procedures design to detect individual errors, and also a level above which all identified errors will be reported to Governance, Audit & Standards Committee.

We consider that the Gross revenue expenditure. remains the key focus of users of the financial statements and, as such, we base our materiality levels around this benchmark.

We expect to set a materiality threshold at 2% of total gross expenditure. Based on prior year financial statements we anticipate the overall materiality for the year ending 31 March 2021 to be in the region of £1,306k.

After setting initial materiality, we continue to monitor materiality throughout the audit to ensure that it is set at an appropriate level.

## Performance Materiality

Performance materiality is the amount or amounts set by the auditor at less than materiality for the financial statements as a whole to reduce, to an appropriately low level, the probability that the aggregate of uncorrected and undetected misstatements exceeds materiality for the financial statements as a whole. Our initial assessment of performance materiality is based on low inherent risk, meaning that we have applied 75% of overall materiality as performance materiality.


## Misstatements

We accumulate misstatements identified during the audit that are other than clearly trivial. We set a level of triviality for individual errors identified (a reporting threshold) for reporting to the Governance, Audit & Standards Committee that is consistent with the level of triviality that we consider would not need to be accumulated because we expect that the accumulation of such amounts would not have a material effect on the financial statements. Based on our preliminary assessment of overall materiality, our proposed triviality threshold is £39k based on 3% of overall materiality. If you have any queries about this please do not hesitate to raise these with Mark Surridge.

## Reporting to Governance, Audit & Standards Committee.

The following three types of audit differences will be presented to the Governance, Audit & Standards Committee:

- summary of adjusted audit differences;
- summary of unadjusted audit differences; and
- summary of disclosure differences (adjusted and unadjusted).





## Appendix: Key communication points

# Appendix: Key communication points

We value communication with Those Charged With Governance as a two way feedback process at the heart of our client service commitment. ISA 260 (UK) 'Communication with Those Charged with Governance' and ISA 265 (UK) 'Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management' specifically require us to communicate a number of points with you.

Relevant points that need to be communicated with you at each stage of the audit are outlined below.

## Form, timing and content of our communications

We will present the following reports:

- Our Audit Strategy Memorandum;
- Our Audit Completion Report; and
- Auditor's Annual Report


These documents will be discussed with management prior to being presented to yourselves and their comments will be incorporated as appropriate.

## Key communication points at the planning stage as included in this Audit Strategy Memorandum

- Our responsibilities in relation to the audit of the financial statements;
- The planned scope and timing of the audit;
- Significant audit risks and areas of management judgement;
- Our commitment to independence;
- Responsibilities for preventing and detecting errors;
- Materiality and misstatements; and
- Fees for audit and other services.

## Key communication points at the completion stage to be included in our Audit Completion Report


- Significant deficiencies in internal control;
- Significant findings from the audit;
- Significant matters discussed with management;
- Our conclusions on the significant audit risks and areas of management judgement;
- Summary of misstatements;
- Management representation letter;
- Our proposed draft audit report; and
- Independence.



# Appendix: Key communication points


ISA (UK) 260 'Communication with Those Charged with Governance', ISA (UK) 265 'Communicating Deficiencies In Internal Control To Those Charged With Governance And Management' and other ISAs (UK) specifically require us to communicate the following:

Required communication	Where addressed
Our responsibilities in relation to the financial statement audit and those of management and those charged with governance.	Audit Strategy Memorandum
The planned scope and timing of the audit including any limitations, specifically including with respect to significant risks.	Audit Strategy Memorandum
With respect to misstatements: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Uncorrected misstatements and their effect on our audit opinion;</li> <li>• The effect of uncorrected misstatements related to prior periods;</li> <li>• A request that any uncorrected misstatement is corrected; and</li> <li>• In writing, corrected misstatements that are significant.</li> </ul>	Audit Completion Report
With respect to fraud communications: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enquiries of the Governance, Audit &amp; Standards Committee to determine whether they have a knowledge of any actual, suspected or alleged fraud affecting the entity;</li> <li>• Any fraud that we have identified or information we have obtained that indicates that fraud may exist; and</li> <li>• A discussion of any other matters related to fraud.</li> </ul>	Audit Completion Report and discussion at the Governance, Audit & Standards Committee. Audit Planning and Clearance meetings




# Appendix: Key communication points

Required communication	Where addressed
<p>Significant matters arising during the audit in connection with the entity’s related parties including, when applicable:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Non-disclosure by management;</li> <li>• Inappropriate authorisation and approval of transactions;</li> <li>• Disagreement over disclosures;</li> <li>• Non-compliance with laws and regulations; and</li> <li>• Difficulty in identifying the party that ultimately controls the entity.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Significant findings from the audit including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Our view about the significant qualitative aspects of accounting practices including accounting policies, accounting estimates and financial statement disclosures;</li> <li>• Significant difficulties, if any, encountered during the audit;</li> <li>• Significant matters, if any, arising from the audit that were discussed with management or were the subject of correspondence with management;</li> <li>• Written representations that we are seeking;</li> <li>• Expected modifications to the audit report; and</li> <li>• Other matters, if any, significant to the oversight of the financial reporting process or otherwise identified in the course of the audit that we believe will be relevant to the Governance, Audit &amp; Standards Committee in the context of fulfilling their responsibilities.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Significant deficiencies in internal controls identified during the audit.</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Where relevant, any issues identified with respect to authority to obtain external confirmations or inability to obtain relevant and reliable audit evidence from other procedures.</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>



# Appendix: Key communication points

Required communication	Where addressed
<p>Audit findings regarding non-compliance with laws and regulations where the non-compliance is material and believed to be intentional (subject to compliance with legislation on tipping off) and enquiry of the Governance, Audit &amp; Standards Committee into possible instances of non-compliance with laws and regulations that may have a material effect on the financial statements and that the Governance, Audit &amp; Standards Committee may be aware of.</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report and Governance, Audit &amp; Standards Committee meetings</p>
<p>With respect to going concern, events or conditions identified that may cast significant doubt on the entity's ability to continue as a going concern, including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Whether the events or conditions constitute a material uncertainty;</li> <li>• Whether the use of the going concern assumption is appropriate in the preparation and presentation of the financial statements; and</li> <li>• The adequacy of related disclosures in the financial statements.</li> </ul>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Reporting on the valuation methods applied to the various items in the annual financial statements including any impact of changes of such methods</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>
<p>Indication of whether all requested explanations and documents were provided by the entity</p>	<p>Audit Completion Report</p>



# Mark Surridge

## Mazars

2 Chamberlain Square

Birmingham

B3 3AX

Mazars is an internationally integrated partnership, specialising in audit, accountancy, advisory, tax and legal services\*. Operating in over 90 countries and territories around the world, we draw on the expertise of 40,400 professionals – 24,400 in Mazars' integrated partnership and 16,000 via the Mazars North America Alliance – to assist clients of all sizes at every stage in their development.

\*where permitted under applicable country laws.